

# $\Phi$ BSU – Buoyant Separverse Unification

Field Theory, Part III

## Particle Interiors from the Alpha Vacuum

### Chapter 1

From fine-structure permeability to leptonic phase-locks

Esa Sakkinen

working manuscript draft, April 2026

**Abstract**

This chapter develops the opening step of ΦBSU Part III: the transition from the alpha vacuum to elementary leptonic particle interiors. The fine-structure constant is read as a neighborhood-permeability of the vacuum identity structure rather than as a merely external electromagnetic coupling. The inverse value near 137.036 is interpreted as an asymptotic retention number of the nearly non-manifest zero-state, while  $125 = 5^3$  is interpreted as the full information-density limit of the first three-rotational internal granulation. Between these two limits the vacuum supports topological density currents, null-fibre phase impulses, and coherence-filtered loops. Leptons are then modelled as closed, Pauli-separated, antipodally coherent phase-locks in the measurable  $P_+^H$  branch. Their masses arise as the minimum cost of maintaining closed phase-separation in the alpha-vacuum compliance network. The charged-lepton hierarchy is organized as a  $1R, 2R, 3R$  sequence, and the Koide relation appears as the  $C_3$  balance between sameness-amplitude and separateness-amplitude. The small deviation from the zeroth-order Koide pattern is interpreted as the first sign that topological loop closure has entered dimensional interaction geometry, where the primitive circumference-diameter relation evolves from 1 toward  $\pi$ .

**Contents**

<b>1 Aim of Part III</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2 Reader’s dictionary</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Fine structure as vacuum permeability</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4 The structural count behind 137.036 and 125</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5 Topological density currents in the fluctuation plasma</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6 Pauli separation as the first existence rule</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>7 Symmetric endpoint impulses and coherent photon twists</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>8 Leptonic closure</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>9 Mass as the cost of closed phase separation</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>10 The <math>1R, 2R, 3R</math> ordering of charged leptons</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>11 Koide structure from the <math>C_3</math> seam</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>12 Zeroth-order numerical check</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>13 From leptonic interiors toward baryogenesis</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>14 Leptonic chain and baryonic threshold</b>	<b>12</b>

## 1 Aim of Part III

Part I introduced the basic ΦBSU field dictionary: a global phase

$$\Phi = e^{i\alpha}, \tag{1}$$

a separation of local curvature from global identity,

$$A = A_{\text{geom}} + A_{\text{id}}, \quad A_{\text{id}} = d\alpha, \quad F_{\text{geom}} = dA_{\text{geom}}, \tag{2}$$

and an invariant vacuum density with a buoyancy field,

$$\rho = \|\nabla\alpha\|, \quad a_\mu = -\partial_\mu \ln \rho. \tag{3}$$

The point of this dictionary is that local radiation and force are carried by the curvature sector  $F_{\text{geom}}$ , while global identity and phase memory can remain in  $A_{\text{id}}$  as holonomy even when local curvature vanishes along a path [1].

Part II used the same idea at cosmic and galactic scales. Sourcewise support, drag-latched memory, and the frozen hierarchy were described as coherence-filtered projections of the same vacuum-memory structure, not as separate dark ontologies [3, 4]. Part III now moves the same programme to the microscopic level.

The central question is:

### Guiding question

When does a vacuum phase difference stop being a transient fluctuation and become a particle interior?

The answer pursued here is:

$$\boxed{\text{A particle is a closed, Pauli-separated, antipodally coherent phase-separation interior.}} \tag{4}$$

Charged leptons are the first test case. They carry electric charge, they are spinorial, they are not confined like quarks, and their three known masses display the empirical Koide structure. Therefore the lepton sector is the most economical place to test whether ΦBSU can turn the alpha-vacuum idea into a quantitative particle-interior theory.

The word *alpha vacuum* is used here in the programme-specific sense: it denotes the vacuum state defined by the phase chart  $\alpha$  and its neighborhood permeability  $\alpha_\Phi$ . It is not a reference to the conventional family of de Sitter alpha-vacua.

## 2 Reader's dictionary

The main symbols used in this chapter are collected in Table 1. The purpose of the table is to prevent the algebra from hiding the physical picture.

Table 1: Working dictionary for Chapter 1.

Symbol	Meaning
$\alpha$	Phase chart of the global field $\Phi = e^{i\alpha}$ .
$A_{\text{id}} = d\alpha$	Identity/holonomy channel. It can carry loop phase data without being local radiation.
$F_{\text{geom}} = dA_{\text{geom}}$	Local curvature channel: forces, radiation, and Poynting energy flux.
$\rho = \ \nabla\alpha\ $	Invariant vacuum density.
$\chi = \ln(\rho/\rho_\infty)$	Operational logarithmic density field used in the macroscopic support and focusing language.
$\varepsilon_\emptyset = 137.036$	Asymptotic identity-retention number of the nearly non-manifest zero-state.
$\varepsilon_E = 125 = 5^3$	Full information-density / energy-presentation limit of the first $3R$ granulation.
$\varepsilon_\Phi(\mathcal{I})$	Local identity-retention function interpolating between 137.036 and 125.
$\alpha_\Phi = \varepsilon_\Phi^{-1}$	Local neighborhood permeability of identity into adjacent states.
$\mathcal{R}_H$	Hypersymmetry Real/mirror-sheet operator. It selects the physical branch through $P_+^H$ .
$\mathcal{A}_K$	Internal Klein-antipode operator inside $K^2 \subset 3R$ . It is not the same as $\mathcal{R}_H$ .
$P_+^H = (1 + \mathcal{R}_H)/2$	Measurable hypersymmetry-branch projector.
$P_S^K = (1 + \mathcal{A}_K)/2$	Internal symmetric endpoint/comparator projector.
$P_T^K = (1 - \mathcal{A}_K)/2$	Internal antipodal twist projector.
$\Gamma_\ell$	Leptonic internal closure class.
$\mathcal{C}_\ell$	Compliance of a leptonic closure: its ability to distribute phase separation.
$q_\ell = \sqrt{m_\ell/m_*}$	Dimensionless lepton mass-amplitude.

A crucial distinction is

$$\mathcal{R}_H \neq \mathcal{A}_K. \tag{5}$$

The operator  $\mathcal{R}_H$  is the hypersymmetry mirror-sheet operator used to define the measurable branch,

$$P_+^H = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathcal{R}_H), \tag{6}$$

whereas  $\mathcal{A}_K$  is the internal Klein-antipode acting inside the  $K^2 \subset 3R$  phase surface. If the two were identified, the internal seam term would disappear in the physical branch, since

$$P_+^H(1 - \mathcal{R}_H)P_+^H = 0. \tag{7}$$

The mass-generating internal seam must instead be built from  $\mathcal{A}_K$ :

$$P_+^H(1 - \mathcal{A}_K)P_+^H \neq 0 \tag{8}$$

in general. This is consistent with the hypersymmetry projection stance: the operational  $M^4 \times K^2$  chart is a measurable representation of a deeper  $3T \times 3R$  base, and direct observations select coherent spin-1 composites without adding new on-shell mirror poles [2].

### 3 Fine structure as vacuum permeability

In ordinary QED language, the fine-structure constant  $\alpha$  is the dimensionless strength of electromagnetic interaction. In the present ΦBSU reading, its deeper role is the minimal permeability by which an identity difference in the vacuum becomes visible to neighboring states. The observed inverse fine-structure constant is 137.035999177(21) in the CODATA 2022 adjustment [6]. This chapter uses the rounded structural value

$$\varepsilon_{\emptyset} = 137.036 \tag{9}$$

as the first alpha-vacuum retention limit.

The information-theoretic motivation is simple. If two states are completely identical and no phase, holonomy, comparator state, or projection difference distinguishes them, then counting them as two events adds no information. Complete sameness is therefore not a duplicated existence. It is the absence of separative information.

A physical event begins only when the zero-state fails to remain perfectly hidden. It must leak into its neighborhood just enough to become distinguishable:

$$\alpha_{\Phi}(0) = \varepsilon_{\emptyset}^{-1} \simeq \frac{1}{137.036}. \tag{10}$$

Here  $\varepsilon_{\emptyset}$  is not the SI vacuum permittivity, and it is not a universal energy stiffness. It is a dimensionless identity-retention number: the asymptotic resistance of the nearly non-manifest zero-state against becoming a separative event.

### 4 The structural count behind 137.036 and 125

The structural count used in this chapter is

$$\varepsilon_{\emptyset} = 1 + 2 + 3^2 + 5^3 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{9}{125} = 137.036. \tag{11}$$

The count should not be read as a detached numerical coincidence. In the  $3T \times 3R$  projection stance, it is the first allowed closure-count of the internal  $3R$  sector: identity, antipodal distinction, surface separation, and three-rotational volume granulation.

The terms are interpreted as follows.

Term	Topological role
1	Identity bit: the still undivided same.
2	First antipodal distinction: a minimal mirror relation between self and other.
$3^2$	First non-equal-phase surface lattice: a two-directional phase surface in the internal rotational sector.
$5^3$	First full three-rotational granulation: a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ internal volume count.
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{9}{125}$	Antipodally halved surface-to-volume permeability, from the $3^2$ surface into the $5^3$ volume.

The numbers 3 and 5 have a specific role in this model. The first phase surface needs three sectors to avoid immediate equal-phase return to the preceding binary split. The first full

internal volume then uses five sectors per rotational direction, because the next stage cannot be a replay of the previous 2- or 3-fold closures. In this reading,  $5^3$  is not an arbitrary base. It is the first saturated three-rotational information-density volume that follows the identity, antipode, and surface stages.

The second asymptote is therefore

$$\boxed{\varepsilon_E = 125 = 5^3.} \quad (12)$$

It is not a second approximation to the fine-structure constant. It is the full information-density limit: the point at which identity difference is no longer mostly retained as a zero-state, but is forced to present itself as energy-bearing structure.

The alpha-vacuum compliance function is written

$$\boxed{\varepsilon_\Phi(\mathcal{I}) = \varepsilon_\emptyset - (\varepsilon_\emptyset - \varepsilon_E)S(\mathcal{I}),} \quad (13)$$

with

$$0 \leq \mathcal{I} \leq 1, \quad S(0) = 0, \quad S(1) = 1. \quad (14)$$

Thus

$$\varepsilon_\Phi(0) = 137.036, \quad \varepsilon_\Phi(1) = 125, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\boxed{\alpha_\Phi(\mathcal{I}) = \varepsilon_\Phi(\mathcal{I})^{-1}.} \quad (16)$$

This is not the ordinary QED running of  $\alpha(q^2)$ . QED running belongs to the operational electromagnetic chart. The function  $\varepsilon_\Phi(\mathcal{I})$  is an internal identity-compliance function: it measures how much separative phase can be retained as a near-zero state and how much must appear as energy-bearing information density.

## 5 Topological density currents in the fluctuation plasma

The early fluctuation plasma in this chapter is not a soup of pre-existing particles. It is a field of possible phase differences, null-fibre impulses, antipodal parity changes, and holonomy classes. Most of these do not survive. They are randomized before they close into a persistent comparator.

A particle interior appears only when a phase-separation class satisfies four conditions:

- (i) it closes;
- (ii) it is antipodally coherent;
- (iii) it is Pauli-separated from identical closures;
- (iv) it survives the physical  $P_+^H$  projection.

To express this without assuming a pre-existing background space, let

$$\mathfrak{G}_\Phi = \{\omega : \omega \text{ is an allowed holonomy/parity class}\} \quad (17)$$

be the graph of allowed topological transitions. If  $n_\omega(\eta)$  is the coarse-grained density of class  $\omega$  at causal ordering stage  $\eta$ , then a topological density current is

$$\mathcal{J}_\omega = n_\omega v_\omega, \quad (18)$$

and its continuity equation is

$$\boxed{\partial_\eta n_\omega + \nabla_{\mathfrak{G}} \cdot \mathcal{J}_\omega = \mathcal{S}_\omega - \mathcal{D}_\omega.} \quad (19)$$

Here  $\mathcal{S}_\omega$  creates new closure possibilities and  $\mathcal{D}_\omega$  removes decohered or sameness-collapsed classes. A stable particle-interior candidate is a class for which, locally,

$$\partial_\eta n_\omega \simeq 0, \quad \nabla_{\mathfrak{G}} \cdot \mathcal{J}_\omega \simeq 0. \quad (20)$$

This means that the structure is no longer just a plasma fluctuation. It has become a recurrent topological current.

In an operational chart this can be represented by a null-fibre current along a closure class  $\Gamma$ :

$$j_\Gamma^\mu(x) = \int_\Gamma d\lambda_\Phi n_\Gamma(\lambda_\Phi) k^\mu(\lambda_\Phi) \delta_\Phi(x - X_\Gamma(\lambda_\Phi)). \quad (21)$$

For a coherent closed interior,

$$\nabla_\mu j_\Gamma^\mu \simeq 0. \quad (22)$$

The non-orientable holomorphic notes give a natural geometric picture for this stage: antipodal double covering, a Klein-bottle phase surface, twisting light cones, and null-geodesic fibres form a setting in which opposite-taste primordial waves can cancel in the vacuum state while phase shifts become observable only through projection and closure [5].

## 6 Pauli separation as the first existence rule

The Pauli principle is usually introduced as a property of identical fermions: two identical fermions cannot occupy the same quantum state. Here it is read one step deeper. If two would-be excitations are completely identical in the same projection branch and in the same holonomy class, they do not represent two information events.

The separability condition can be written as

$$\boxed{d_{\text{FS}}([\Psi_i], [\Psi_j]) + d_{\text{hol}}(\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j) \geq \delta_P.} \quad (23)$$

Here  $d_{\text{FS}}$  is the projective Hilbert-space distance,  $d_{\text{hol}}$  is holonomy-class distance, and  $\delta_P$  is the Planck-scale separability threshold. If

$$[\Psi_i] = [\Psi_j], \quad [\Gamma_i] = [\Gamma_j], \quad (24)$$

then the second excitation adds no new separative information. It is not a second event.

Thus Pauli exclusion becomes an existence rule:

$$\boxed{\text{complete sameness in one branch is not duplicated existence.}} \quad (25)$$

The familiar fermionic antisymmetry is the operational quantum-chart form of this deeper separation rule.

## 7 Symmetric endpoint impulses and coherent photon twists

The photon vocabulary must be precise because leptons will be built as closed phase-locks involving the same internal channels. Define the symmetric and twist projectors of the internal Klein antipode:

$$P_S^K = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \mathcal{A}_K), \quad P_T^K = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \mathcal{A}_K). \quad (26)$$

The symmetric endpoint channel is

$$\boxed{h_{1/2\gamma} = P_+^H P_S^K h.} \quad (27)$$

This is the object previously called a half-photon state. It is not half of a photon energy. It is a symmetric null-fibre phase impulse that can mediate an endpoint or comparator boundary condition. It may appear as electric pressure or as the absence of such pressure at charge interfaces, but it is not a free radiation quantum and it must not generate a new asymptotic pole.

The full photon channel is

$$\boxed{h_\gamma = P_+^H C_{\text{spin}1}(P_T^K h).} \quad (28)$$

The internal twist  $P_T^K h$  is antipodally nontrivial. It becomes an observable photon only as a coherent spin-1 projection. The local radiative energy belongs to  $F_{\text{geom}}$ , while  $A_{\text{id}}$  keeps the identity/holonomy bookkeeping. This preserves the hypersymmetry stance: coherent spin-1 composites are directly measurable, while other null-fibre excitations appear mainly through holonomy, focusing, caustics, and effective actions [2].

At the topological level the twist is not primarily a  $\pi$  angle. It is

$$\mathcal{A}_K h = -h \quad (29)$$

or

$$\Phi \mapsto -\Phi. \quad (30)$$

Only after choosing the  $U(1)$  chart  $\Phi = e^{i\alpha}$  can the same statement be written as

$$e^{i\Delta\alpha} = -1, \quad \Delta\alpha = \pi \pmod{2\pi}. \quad (31)$$

The number  $\pi$  is therefore not a primary topological input. It is the metric chart expression of antipodal closure.

## 8 Leptonic closure

A charged lepton is defined as the first stable particle interior in which the symmetric endpoint channel and the antipodal twist channel lock into one spinorial closure:

$$\boxed{\ell^\pm = P_+^H \text{Lock}(P_S^K h, P_T^K h, \Gamma_\ell).} \quad (32)$$

The spinorial double cover is written topologically as

$$\boxed{\Psi_\ell(\gamma) = -\Psi_\ell, \quad \Psi_\ell(\gamma^2) = \Psi_\ell.} \quad (33)$$

Here  $\gamma$  is the nontrivial closure generator. Only in a metric circle chart does this become the familiar  $2\pi/4\pi$  spinor condition.

The charge is read as a holonomy orientation. In a  $U(1)$  chart one may write

$$Q_\ell = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma_\ell} d\theta_Q = \pm 1, \quad (34)$$

but the primary statement is not the numerical  $2\pi$  denominator. The primary statement is that the closure carries a nontrivial charge orientation and remains measurable in the  $P_+^H$  branch.

Leptogenesis, in the first Part III sense, means the emergence of these stable leptonic interiors from the alpha-vacuum fluctuation plasma. It is not yet the full cosmological lepton-number problem. The first target is the interior mechanism: how a charged spinorial closure acquires a mass and why there are three charged-lepton generations.

## 9 Mass as the cost of closed phase separation

Let  $\Gamma_\ell$  be the internal closure class of a lepton. On this closure we use not an assumed Euclidean length  $ds$ , but a topological-compliance measure  $d\lambda_\Phi$ . The internal phase-lock field is  $\theta_\ell$ . The first gradient-cost functional is

$$E_{\text{grad}}[\theta_\ell] = \frac{\kappa_\Phi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \varepsilon_\Phi(\mathcal{I}) \left( \frac{D\theta_\ell}{d\lambda_\Phi} \right)^2 d\lambda_\Phi. \quad (35)$$

The constant  $\kappa_\Phi$  is the phase stiffness of the alpha-vacuum. In the macroscopic Part II chart the same stiffness appears as vacuum support and focusing through the logarithmic density field  $\chi = \ln(\rho/\rho_\infty)$  and its sourcewise hierarchy. Thus  $\kappa_\Phi$  is not introduced as an unrelated lepton-scale parameter; it is the microscopic stiffness whose large-scale projection becomes the  $\rho/\chi$  support language [3, 4].

The holonomy constraint is

$$\int_{\Gamma_\ell} D\theta_\ell = \Theta_\ell. \quad (36)$$

Variation gives

$$\frac{D\theta_\ell}{d\lambda_\Phi} = \frac{\Theta_\ell}{\mathcal{C}_\ell \varepsilon_\Phi(\mathcal{I})}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_\ell = \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \frac{d\lambda_\Phi}{\varepsilon_\Phi(\mathcal{I})} \quad (38)$$

is the compliance of the closure. It measures how well the internal loop can distribute its phase separation.

The minimized gradient energy is therefore

$$E_{\text{grad}}^{\text{min}} = \frac{\kappa_\Phi \Theta_\ell^2}{2 \mathcal{C}_\ell}. \quad (39)$$

The full lepton mass-energy is modelled as

$$m_\ell c^2 = \frac{\kappa_\Phi \Theta_\ell^2}{2 \mathcal{C}_\ell} + E_{\text{seam},\ell} + E_{\text{curv},\ell} + E_{\text{occ},\ell}. \quad (40)$$

The correction terms have distinct roles:

$$E_{\text{seam},\ell} = \text{internal Klein-antipode seam locking energy}, \quad (41)$$

$$E_{\text{curv},\ell} = \text{focusing, curvature, and self-interaction correction}, \quad (42)$$

$$E_{\text{occ},\ell} = \text{occupancy cost associated with the } 125 = 5^3 \text{ information-density limit}. \quad (43)$$

The central mass statement is

$$\boxed{\text{mass is the minimum cost of closed phase separation in alpha-vacuum compliance.}} \quad (44)$$

## 10 The 1R, 2R, 3R ordering of charged leptons

Let the three internal rotational-compliance directions be

$$\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{R}_3. \quad (45)$$

They are not the hypersymmetry operator  $\mathcal{R}_H$ . They are the three internal  $3R$  repetition channels of the primary phase base.

The first charged-lepton ordering is

$$\boxed{\tau = 1R, \quad \mu = 2R, \quad e = 3R.} \quad (46)$$

This means

$$\Gamma_\tau \subset \mathcal{R}_1, \quad (47)$$

$$\Gamma_\mu \subset \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2, \quad (48)$$

$$\Gamma_e \subset \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2 \oplus \mathcal{R}_3. \quad (49)$$

The tau closure is topologically simplest but energetically heaviest: it has the least internal compliance for distributing phase separation. The muon splits the closure over two internal channels. The electron uses the full  $3R$  compliance and therefore realizes the charged spinorial closure with the smallest mass-amplitude.

Thus

$$\mathcal{C}_\tau < \mathcal{C}_\mu < \mathcal{C}_e, \quad (50)$$

and the corresponding qualitative hierarchy is

$$m_\tau > m_\mu > m_e. \quad (51)$$

This is a conceptual inversion of the usual intuitive picture:

$$\boxed{\text{the electron is light not because it is structureless, but because it is the most fully distributed } 3R \text{ closure.}} \quad (52)$$

## 11 Koide structure from the $C_3$ seam

Because the energy functional is quadratic in phase gradients, the natural first spectral variable is the mass-amplitude

$$q_\ell = \sqrt{m_\ell/m_*}, \quad (53)$$

not the mass itself.

Let  $C_3$  be the cyclic operator of the three internal  $R$  channels:

$$C_3^3 = 1. \quad (54)$$

In a complex chart its characters can be written as  $\omega^3 = 1$ , but the topological input is only the cyclic closure.

The reduced  $3R/K^2$  mass-amplitude operator is proposed as

$$\boxed{\Omega_{3R/K} = q_0 \left[ I + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \xi_K C_3 + \xi_K^{-1} C_3^{-1} \right) \right].} \quad (55)$$

Its eigenvalues are

$$\boxed{q_n = q_0 \left[ 1 + \sqrt{2} \Re(\xi_K \omega^n) \right], \quad n = 0, 1, 2.} \quad (56)$$

Here

$$\xi_K = e^{i\vartheta_K} \quad (57)$$

is the chart representation of the  $3R/K^2$  seam generator. The zeroth-order topological candidate is

$$\boxed{\vartheta_K^{(0)} = -\frac{2}{9}} \quad (58)$$

This is not a fraction of a full  $2\pi$  turn. It is a primitive seam weight. The  $2\pi$  appears only after the  $C_3$  structure is represented in a metric complex chart.

The Koide relation follows directly. Let

$$x_n = \Re(\xi_K \omega^n). \quad (59)$$

For the three  $C_3$  characters,

$$\sum_{n=0}^2 x_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=0}^2 x_n^2 = \frac{3}{2}. \quad (60)$$

Therefore

$$\sum_n q_n = 3q_0, \quad (61)$$

and

$$\sum_n q_n^2 = q_0^2 \left( 3 + 2 \sum_n x_n^2 \right) = 6q_0^2. \quad (62)$$

Since  $m_n = m_* q_n^2$ ,

$$\boxed{\frac{m_0 + m_1 + m_2}{(\sqrt{m_0} + \sqrt{m_1} + \sqrt{m_2})^2} = \frac{2}{3}} \quad (63)$$

In vector form,

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} + \mathbf{q}_{\perp}, \quad (64)$$

where

$$\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \parallel (1, 1, 1), \quad \mathbf{q}_{\perp} \perp (1, 1, 1), \quad (65)$$

and Koide is

$$\boxed{\|\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}\| = \|\mathbf{q}_{\perp}\|}. \quad (66)$$

The information-theoretic reading is immediate:

$$\boxed{\text{sameness-amplitude equals separateness-amplitude.}} \quad (67)$$

A pure sameness state would not be a duplicated existence, while a pure separateness state would not form a stable closure. The charged leptons sit at the balanced seam.

## 12 Zeroth-order numerical check

Using

$$\vartheta_K = -\frac{2}{9} \quad (68)$$

and the ordering

$$n = 0 \rightarrow \tau, \quad n = 1 \rightarrow \mu, \quad n = 2 \rightarrow e, \quad (69)$$

the mass-amplitude ratios are

$$q_{\tau} : q_{\mu} : q_e = 2.379438 : 0.580212 : 0.040350. \quad (70)$$

The corresponding mass ratios are

$$\frac{m_\mu}{m_e} \simeq 206.770316, \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{m_\tau}{m_e} \simeq 3477.472837, \quad (72)$$

$$\frac{m_\tau}{m_\mu} \simeq 16.818047. \quad (73)$$

For comparison, the CODATA/PDG charged-lepton reference values are approximately  $m_e c^2 = 0.51099895069$  MeV,  $m_\mu c^2 = 105.6583755$  MeV, and  $m_\tau c^2 \simeq 1776.93$  MeV [7, 8, 9]. The zeroth-order seam value is therefore extremely close at the level of charged-lepton ratios, but not exact at metrological precision.

This mismatch is not treated as an arbitrary defect to be fitted away. In the present reading, the exact Koide form is the topological  $C_3$  balance before the loop is fully embedded in dimensional interaction geometry. Once the closure interacts through an operational metric chart, the primitive topological loop relation does not remain at the pure ratio 1. The metric circumference-diameter relation opens toward

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi. \quad (74)$$

The observed small departure from the zeroth-order Koide ratios is therefore expected to be a finite-density, seam, and occupancy correction associated with this opening from comparator topology into dimensional interaction.

A compact way to write this is

$$\vartheta_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{2}{9} + \delta\vartheta_{\text{dim}}, \quad (75)$$

where  $\delta\vartheta_{\text{dim}}$  is not a free numerical decoration, but the first correction caused by the transition from nonmetric closure to metric interaction. The detailed form of  $\delta\vartheta_{\text{dim}}$  must come from  $E_{\text{seam}}$ ,  $E_{\text{curv}}$ , and  $E_{\text{occ}}$  in the mass functional.

### 13 From leptonic interiors toward baryogenesis

The lepton construction gives the simplest closed particle interiors. A charged lepton is a complete  $P_+^H$ -visible spinorial closure. It can exist as an asymptotic particle because its internal phase-lock is self-closing.

Quark states are expected to differ in one essential respect. They need not be complete one-particle  $P_+^H$  closures. They can be partial  $Z_3$ -bounded phase classes whose physical visibility requires a threefold comparator. In this reading a baryon is not merely three pre-existing little particles glued together. It is a joint closure of three incomplete internal phase classes:

$$\Gamma_B \sim \Gamma_{q_1} \oplus \Gamma_{q_2} \oplus \Gamma_{q_3}, \quad \Gamma_{q_i} \text{ not independently complete in } P_+^H. \quad (76)$$

This is where the Koide deviation becomes informative. Leptons already reveal the first correction from pure topological closure to metric interaction through the movement

$$1 \rightarrow \pi. \quad (77)$$

Baryons require this correction more deeply, because their closure is not one loop but a coupled  $Z_3$  interaction structure. Fractional charge, color-like confinement, and baryon asymmetry should therefore arise from the same dimensionalization that slightly shifts the charged-lepton Koide balance.

The first Part III result is thus not yet a baryon spectrum. It is the emergence of a controlled bridge:

$$\boxed{\text{alpha-vacuum} \longrightarrow \text{leptonic } 3R/K^2 \text{ closures} \longrightarrow Z_3 \text{ quark closures} \longrightarrow \text{baryonic interiors.}} \quad (78)$$

Leptons establish the phase-lock and mass-amplitude mechanism. Quarks will test whether the same mechanism can be made incomplete, triadic, and color-confined without adding a separate particle ontology.

## 14 Leptonic chain and baryonic threshold

The alpha-vacuum chain is compressed into the following relations.

$$\alpha_\Phi = \text{minimal neighborhood permeability of vacuum identity}, \quad (79)$$

$$\varepsilon_\Phi : 137.036 \rightarrow 125 = \text{retention-to-energy compliance window}, \quad (80)$$

$$\text{Pauli} = \text{separative existence rule}, \quad (81)$$

$$\ell^\pm = \text{closed antipodal spinorial phase-lock}, \quad (82)$$

$$m_\ell c^2 = \text{minimum cost of maintaining closed phase separation}, \quad (83)$$

$$\tau, \mu, e = 1R, 2R, 3R \text{ compliance classes}, \quad (84)$$

$$\sqrt{m_\ell} = C_3 \text{ mass-amplitude of the } 3R/K^2 \text{ seam}. \quad (85)$$

The empirical Koide structure is then the signature of a balanced  $C_3$  seam in mass-amplitude space. Its small observed deviation marks the first movement from primitive comparator closure into metric dimensional interaction, where the effective circumference-diameter relation evolves from 1 toward  $\pi$ . The same dimensionalization supplies the natural entrance to partial  $Z_3$  quark closures, fractional charge, confinement-like completion, and ultimately baryogenesis.

## References

- [1] E. Säkkinen, *ΦBSU – Buoyant Separverse Unification – Field Theory, Part I: Completing Relativity: A Topological Pinor Basis for Emergent Electromagnetism*, preprint, updated January 2026.
- [2] E. Säkkinen, *A New Reflection-Graded Hypersymmetry on  $M^4 \times K^2$ : Partnerless One-Loop Stabilization in an MSSM-Inspired ΦBSU Vacuum*, preprint, updated January 2026.
- [3] E. Säkkinen, *ΦBSU Field Theory, Part II: Completing Information Closure toward Topological Background-Independence*, preprint, March 2026.
- [4] E. Säkkinen, *Coherence-Filtered BECO Seeding at the Drag Epoch: Drag-Latched Memory Snapshot, BAO Inheritance, and  $\nu$ -Parametrized Rare Peaks in ΦBSU*, proposal/method note, March 2026.
- [5] E. Säkkinen, *Ei-orientoituvan 4-eetteri-moniston holomorfishet rakenteet ja yhtenäistämisen kenttä*, research proposal, April 2025.
- [6] NIST, CODATA 2022 recommended value: inverse fine-structure constant,  $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999177(21)$ , [physics.nist.gov/constants](https://physics.nist.gov/constants).
- [7] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Physics, Physical Constants*, 2025 update; electron mass energy equivalent  $m_e c^2 = 0.51099895069(16)$  MeV.
- [8] Particle Data Group, *Muon listing*, 2025 update; muon mass energy equivalent  $m_\mu c^2 = 105.6583755(23)$  MeV.
- [9] Particle Data Group, *Particle Properties and charged-lepton listings*, 2025 update; tau mass reference value near 1776.93 MeV.